Case: 09-35818 09/14/2009 Page: 1 of 3 DktEntry: 7061152

A-11 (rev. 7/00) Page 1 of 2



|    | U | S | C | A | D | 00 | CK  | ΕT | `#( | IF I | KN( | OW. | N) |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|----|-----|------|-----|-----|----|
| Γ  |   |   |   |   |   |    |     |    |     |      |     |     |    |
| L. |   |   |   |   |   |    | *** | ** | a   |      |     |     |    |

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY.

| TITLE IN FULL:  | DISTRICT: Western   | JUDGE: Benjamin H. Settle |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| JOHN DOE #1; JOHN DOE #2; and   | DISTRICT COURT NUMBER: 3:09-cv-05456-BHS  |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROTECT MARRIAGE WASHINGTON, Plaintiffs,  | DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED:  | IS THIS A CROSS APPEAL?   |  |  |  |  |  |
| v.  | September 11, 2009  | YES                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAM REED, Secretary of State, and BRENDA GALARZA, Public Records Officer,  Defendants.  | IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOCKET NUMBER AND CITATION (IF ANY): |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION   | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW:   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Attached  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED  | ON APPEAL:  |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Attached  PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDING THAT MAY HAVE A BEARING ON THIS CASE (INCLUDE  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENDING DISTRICT COURT POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS):  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Possibility of Settlement  Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appeal   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appear  Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay the appeal, or other procedural matters (Specify) |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motion to stay the preliminary injunction or, in the alternative, expedite the appeal   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Possibility parties would stipulate to binding award by Appellate Commissioner in lieu of submission to judges  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |

Case: 09-35818 09/14/2009 Page: 2 of 3 DktEntry: 7061152

| LOWER COURT INFORMATION   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| JU  | RISDICTION  | DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEDERAL   | APPELLATE   | TYPE OF JUDGMENT/ORDER APPEALED   | RELIEF   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEDERAL QUESTION  DIVERSITY  OTHER (SPECIFY):   | FINAL DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT  INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT  INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY):  OTHER (SPECIFY): | ☐ DEFAULT JUDGMENT ☐ DISMISSAL/JURISDICTION ☐ DISMISSAL/MERITS ☐ SUMMARY JUDGMENT ☐ JUDGMENT/COURT DECISION ☐ JUDGMENT/JURY VERDICT ☐ DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ☐ JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):  preliminary injunction | DAMAGES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$  INJUNCTIONS: PRELIMINARY PERMANENT GRANTED DENIED ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ PENDING COSTS: \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | CED   | TIFICATION OF COUNSEL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. A CURRENT SER (SEE 9TH CIR. RUL  3. A COPY OF THIS  4. I UNDERSTAND INCLUDING DISMI  | E 3-2).<br>CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETIN   | TION STATEMENT WITH TELEPHONE ANG STATEMENT WAS SERVED IN COMPLIA WITH THESE FILING REQUIREMENTS M.  7/4  | ANCE WITH FRAP 25.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | COUNSEL   | WHO COMPLETED THIS FORM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME William  | B. Collins, Deputy Solicitor Ger  | neral   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIRM Washington State Attorney General's Office   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADDRESS 1125 Wa   | ashington Street SE, PO Box 401   | 00  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CITY Olympia  |   | STATE WA  | ZIP CODE 98504-0100  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E-MAIL billc@at   | g.wa.gov  | TELEPHONE 360-753-6   | TELEPHONE 360-753-6245   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAX 360   | -664-2963   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE FILED IN DISTRICT COURT WITH THE NOTICE OF APPEAL. **  **IF FILED LATE, IT SHOULD BE FILED DIRECTLY WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS.** |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Case: 09-35818 09/14/2009 Page: 3 of 3 DktEntry: 7061152

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW

Under the Washington State Constitution, a referendum may be ordered on a bill passed by the legislature, if a petition signed by a constitutionally specified percentage of legal voters is filed with the Secretary of State. When a petition containing the signatures of the requisite percentage of legal voters is filed, an election is held in which the voters decide whether to accept or reject the bill. The Washington Legislature enacted Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill (ESSB) 5688, which expanded the rights, responsibilities, and obligations accorded state-registered same-sex and senior domestic partners. Protect Marriage Washington filed Referendum 71 to challenge ESSB 5688. The referendum petition was signed by over 137,000 registered voters. Under Washington's Public Records Act, the Referendum 71 petitions are public records and may be made available for public inspection. Wash. Rev. Code § 42.56.070. Protect Marriage Washington and two John Doe plaintiffs filed this action to enjoin the Secretary of State from disclosing the names on the petitions under the Public Records Act. The plaintiffs claimed that disclosure would violate their rights of association under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction.

## PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL

Whether the plaintiffs were entitle to a preliminary injunction to prevent the Washington Secretary of State from disclosing the names on Referendum 71 petitions in response to requests from citizens under Washington's Public Records Act.